arXiv:1703.00979v1 [math.GR] 2 Mar 2017

On verbal subgroups in finite and profinite groups

Cristina Acciarri and Pavel Shumyatsky

Abstract. Let w be a multilinear commutator word. In the present paper we describe recent results that show that if G is a profinite group in which all w-values are contained in a union of finitely (or in some cases countably) many subgroups with a prescribed property, then the verbal subgroup $w(G)$ has the same property as well. In particular, we show this in the case where the subgroups are periodic or of finite rank.

Introduction

Let w be a group-word, and let G be a group. The verbal subgroup $w(G)$ of G determined by w is the subgroup generated by the set of all values $w(g_1,\dots,g_n)$, where $g_1,\dots,g_n$ are elements of G. Most of the words considered in this paper are *multilinear commutators*, also known under the name of *outer commutator words*. These are words that have a form of a multilinear Lie monomial, i.e., they are constructed by nesting commutators using always different indeterminates. For example the word

$$[[x_1,x_2],[y_1,y_2,y_5],z]$$

is a multilinear commutator while the Engel word

$$[x,y,y,y]$$

is not.

An important family of multilinear commutators are the lower central words γ_k, given by

$$\gamma_1=x_1,\qquad \gamma_k=[\gamma_{k-1},x_k]=[x_1,\dots,x_k],\quad \text{for } k\geq 2.$$

The corresponding verbal subgroups $\gamma_k(G)$ are the terms of the lower central series of G. Another distinguished sequence of multilinear commutators are the derived words δ_k, on 2^k variables, which are defined recursively by

$$\delta_0=x_1,\quad \delta_k=[\delta_{k-1}(x_1,\dots,x_{2^{k-1}}),\delta_{k-1}(x_{2^{k-1}+1},\dots,x_{2^k})],\quad \text{for } k\geq 1.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E18; Secondary 20F14.

Key words and phrases. Profinite groups, verbal subgroups, coverings, multilinear commutators.

This research was supported by CNPq-Brazil.

The verbal subgroup that corresponds to the word δ_k is the familiar kth derived subgroup of G usually denoted by $G^{(k)}$.

A word w is concise in a class of groups $\mathcal{C}$ if whenever the set of all w-values in G is finite, it always follows that $w(G)$ is finite, for every group $G \in \mathcal{C}$. P. Hall asked whether every word is concise, but it was later proved by Ivanov [**11**] that this problem has a negative solution (see also [**19**], p. 439). On the other hand, many relevant words are known to be concise. For instance, Turner-Smith [**26**] showed that the lower central words γ_k and the derived words δ_k are concise. In 1974 Wilson [**27**] extended the result to all multilinear commutator words. New proofs of the results on γ_k and δ_k were obtained in [**3**] and for arbitrary multilinear commutators in [**5**]. Turner-Smith also proved that every word is concise in the class formed by the residually finite groups all of whose quotients are again residually finite [**26**]. In 1967 Merzljakov [**16**] answered P. Hall's question in the affirmative for the class of linear groups. It is an open question, due to Segal [**23**], whether in the class of residually finite groups every word is concise.

There are several natural ways to look at Hall's question from a different angle. The circle of problems arising in this context can be characterized as follows.

Given a word w and a group G, assume that certain restrictions are imposed on the set of all w-values in G. How does this influence the structure of the verbal subgroup $w(G)$?

In the present paper we describe some recent results related to the above question. We concentrate on results that show that if G is a profinite group in which all w-values are contained in a union of finitely (or in some cases countably) many subgroups with a prescribed property, then the verbal subgroup $w(G)$ has the same property as well. In particularly we show this in the case where the subgroups are periodic or of finite rank.

Throughout the paper we use the expression "$(a, b, \ldots)$-bounded" to mean "bounded from above by a function depending only on the parameters a, b, ...".

1. On groups in which w-values admit a finite covering

A covering of a group G is a family $\{S_i\}_{i\in I}$ of subsets of G such that $G = \bigcup_{i\in I} S_i$. If $\{H_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a covering of G by subgroups, it is natural to ask what information about G can be deduced from properties of the subgroups H_i. In the case where the covering is finite actually quite a lot about the structure of G can be said. The first result in this direction is due to Baer [**17**], who proved that G admits a finite covering by abelian subgroups if and only if it is central-by-finite. The nontrivial part of this assertion is an immediate consequence of a subsequent result of B.H. Neumann [**18**]: if $\{S_i\}$ is a finite covering of G by cosets of subgroups, then G is also covered by the cosets S_i corresponding to subgroups of finite index in G. In other

words, we can get rid of the cosets of subgroups of infinite index without losing the covering property.

If the set of all w-values in a group G can be covered by finitely many subgroups, one could hope to get some information about the structure of the verbal subgroup $w(G)$.

In this direction we mention the following result that was obtained in [**22**]. Let w be either the lower central word γ_k or the derived word δ_k. Suppose that G is a group in which all w-values are contained in a union of finitely many Chernikov subgroups, then $w(G)$ is Chernikov. Remind that a group is Chernikov if and only if it is a finite extension of a direct sum of finitely many Prüfer groups C_{p^∞}.

Another result of this nature was established in [**6**]: If G is a group in which all commutators are contained in a union of finitely many cyclic subgroups, then G' is either cyclic or finite. Later G. Cutulo and C. Nicotera showed that if G is a group in which all γ_k-values are contained in a union of finitely many cyclic subgroups, then $\gamma_k(G)$ is finite-by-cyclic. They also showed that $\gamma_k(G)$ can be neither cyclic nor finite [**4**].

In our recent paper [**2**] we dealt with profinite groups in which all w-values are contained in a union of finitely many subgroups with certain prescribed properties. A profinite group is a topological group that is isomorphic to an inverse limit of finite groups. The textbooks [**20**] and [**29**] provide a good introduction to the theory of profinite groups. In the context of profinite groups all the usual concepts of group theory are interpreted topologically. In particular, by a verbal subgroup of a profinite group corresponding to the word w we mean the closed subgroup generated by all w-values.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let w be a multilinear commutator word and G a profinite group that has finitely many periodic subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ whose union contains all w-values in G. Then $w(G)$ is locally finite.*

A group is periodic (torsion) if every element of the group has finite order and a group is called locally finite if each of its finitely generated subgroups is finite. Periodic profinite groups have received a good deal of attention in the past. In particular, using Wilson's reduction theorem [**28**], Zelmanov has been able to prove local finiteness of periodic compact groups [**30**]. Earlier Herfort showed that there exist only finitely many primes dividing the orders of elements of a periodic profinite group [**10**]. It is a long-standing problem whether any periodic profinite group has finite exponent. Remind that a group G has exponent e if $x^e = 1$ for all $x \in G$ and e is the least positive integer with that property.

In [**2**] we also considered the situation where all w-values are contained in a union of finitely many subgroups of finite rank. A group G is said to be of finite rank r if every finitely generated subgroup of G can be generated by r elements. Very often the term "special rank" is used with the same meaning. However in the present paper there is no danger of confusing

different notions so we will just use the term "rank". We have the following result.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let w be a multilinear commutator and G a profinite group that has finitely many subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ whose union contains all w-values in G. If each of the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ is of finite rank, then $w(G)$ has finite rank as well.*

It follows from the proof that if under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ have finite exponent, then $w(G)$ has finite exponent as well. It is natural to address the question whether the exponent (rank) of $w(G)$ is bounded in terms of the exponents (ranks) of $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ and s.

We have been able to answer the question in the affirmative only in the particular case where $w = \gamma_k$. The case of the exponent was treated using the Lie-theoretic techniques that Zelmanov created in his solution of the restricted Burnside problem [**31, 32**].

THEOREM 1.3. *Let e, k, s be positive integers and G a profinite group that has subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ whose union contains all γ_k-values in G. Suppose that each of the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ has finite exponent dividing e. Then $\gamma_k(G)$ has finite (e, k, s)-bounded exponent.*

The situation where the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ are of finite rank does not require the use of Zelmanov's Lie-theoretic techniques. Instead an important role in the proof of the next theorem is played by the Lubotzky-Mann theory of powerful p-groups [**14**].

THEOREM 1.4. *Let k, r, s be positive integers and G a profinite group that has subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ whose union contains all γ_k-values in G. Suppose that each of the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ has finite rank at most r. Then $\gamma_k(G)$ has finite (k, r, s)-bounded rank.*

The proofs of the above theorems will be sketched out in Section 4 below. In the next section we will discuss one specific tool used in the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 –namely, a version of the Focal Subgroup Theorem.

2. Around the focal subgroup theorem

The Focal Subgroup Theorem [**8**, Theorem 7.3.4] says that if P is a Sylow subgroup of a finite group G, then $P \cap G'$ is generated by elements of the form $[x, y] \in P$, where $x \in P$ and $y \in G$. In particular, it follows that Sylow subgroups of G' are generated by commutators. Thus, the following question arises.

Let w be a commutator word, G a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of $w(G)$. Is it true that P can be generated by w-values lying in P?

The above question was considered in [**1**] where the following result was proved.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let w be a multilinear commutator, G a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of $w(G)$. Then P is generated by powers of w-values.*

The theorem depends on the classification of finite simple groups. It is used in the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Another obvious corollary of the Focal Subgroup Theorem is that if G is a finite group in which every nilpotent subgroup generated by commutators has exponent dividing e, then the exponent of G' divides e as well. It is easy to deduce from Theorem 2.1 that if every nilpotent subgroup generated by γ_k-commutators has exponent dividing e, then $\gamma_k(G)$ has (k,e)-bounded exponent. The latest result in this direction is the following theorem [**25**].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let e be a positive integer and w a multilinear commutator. Suppose that G is a finite group in which any nilpotent subgroup generated by w-values has exponent dividing e. Then the exponent of the corresponding verbal subgroup $w(G)$ is bounded in terms of e and w only.*

The proof of this result uses a number of deep tools. In particular, it uses the classification of finite simple groups and Zelmanov's solution of the restricted Burnside problem. It is interesting that the reduction from the general case to the case where G is soluble is somewhat reminiscent of the Hall-Higman's reduction [**9**] for the restricted Burnside problem. In the same time in the case of Theorem 2.2 the reduction uses the solution of the restricted Burnside problem.

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 2.2, it is shown that if G is a finite soluble group in which any nilpotent subgroup generated by w-values has exponent dividing e, then the Fitting height of G is bounded in terms of e and w only.

3. About proofs

In the present section we will describe ideas behind the proof of Theorem 1.1. Details can be found in [**2**]. As the reader will see the arguments are pretty universal and can be used to obtain other results of this kind. In particular, in the next section we use the same scheme of reasoning to deduce new results on covering of w-values in profinite groups.

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Without explicit references we use Zelmanov's theorem that a periodic profinite group is locally finite [**30**]. It is a general property of multilinear commutators that for every w there exists k such that every δ_k-commutator is also a w-value [**24**, Lemma 4.1]. For each integer $i = 1, \dots, s$ we set

$$S_i = \Big\{(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}) \in \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k} \mid \delta_k(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}) \in G_i\Big\}.$$

The sets S_i are closed in $\underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k}$ and cover the group $\underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k}$. By Baire's Category Theorem [**12**, p. 200] at least one of these sets contains a

non-empty interior. Hence, there exist an open subgroup H of G, elements $a_1, \dots, a_{2^k}$ in G and an integer j such that

$$\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k}) \in G_j \text{ for all } h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H.$$

Thus, all commutators of the form $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k})$ belong to the given periodic subgroup G_j.

Without loss of generality we can assume that the subgroup H is normal. In this case H normalizes the set of all commutators of the form $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k})$, where $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$. Let K be the subgroup of G generated by all commutators of the form $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k})$, where $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$. Note that $K \leq G_j$. Since the subgroup G_j is locally finite, so is K. Let $D = K \cap H$. Then D is a normal locally finite subgroup of H and the normalizer of D in G has finite index. Therefore there are only finitely many conjugates of D in G. Let $D = D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r$ be all these conjugates. All of them are normal in H and so their product $D_1D_2 \dots D_r$ is locally finite. By passing to the quotient $G/D_1D_2 \dots D_r$ we may assume that $D = 1$. Since $D = K \cap H$ and H has finite index in G, it follows that K is finite. On the other hand, the normalizer of K has finite index in G and so, by Dicman's Lemma [**21**, 14.5.7] the normal closure, say L, of K in G is also finite. We can pass to the quotient group G/L and assume that $K = 1$. In that case we have $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k}) = 1$ for all $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$.

This is a so-called *coset identity*, i.e., the cosets $a_1H, \dots, a_{2^k}H$ satisfy the law $\delta_k \equiv 1$. Note that coset identities often play important role in the results on profinite groups. For example, the problem whether every compact periodic group has finite exponent can be reduced to the following question: Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that a periodic profinite group G has an element a and an open subgroup H such that $(ah)^n = 1$ for every $h \in H$. Does it follow that H has finite exponent? So far the positive answer to the above question is known only in the case where n is prime [**13**].

Our case is much easier, due to the fact that the word δ_k is multilinear. We prove that the condition $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k}) = 1$ for all $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$ implies that H is soluble with derived length at most k. Thus, the group G is soluble-by-finite and we can use induction on the minimal derived length d of a normal open subgroup of G.

So assume that H has derived length d and let $N = H^{(d-1)}$. Let M be the subgroup of N generated by all w-values contained in N. Then $M = M_1M_2 \dots M_s$, where $M_i = M \cap G_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. It follows that M is locally finite and has finite exponent. Thus we can pass to the quotient G/M and assume that there are no nontrivial w-values contained in N.

It is a property of multilinear commutators that a normal subgroup containing no w-values must centralize $w(G)$. Thus, $[w(G), N] = 1$. Recall that we are using induction on d and $N = H^{(d-1)}$. Since $[w(G), N] = 1$, we conclude that $w(G)/Z(w(G))$ is locally finite. For abstract groups we have a locally finite version of Schur's Theorem: if $K/Z(K)$ is locally finite,

then K' is locally finite. However it is unclear whether this can be used on profinite groups. Fortunately, we have the additional information that $w(G)$ is soluble-by-finite.

Since $w(G)/Z(w(G))$ is locally finite and soluble-by-finite, it easily follows that $w(G)/Z(w(G))$ has finite exponent. By a profinite version of Mann's theorem [**15**] we conclude that the derived group of $w(G)$ has finite exponent. Therefore we can pass to the quotient $G/w(G)'$ and assume that $w(G)$ is abelian. But now it is clear that $w(G)$ is the product of subgroups $w(G) \cap G_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, and so $w(G)$ is locally finite. □

Theorem 1.2 can be proved following, by and large, similar arguments. One essential difference is that in place of Mann's theorem we apply a result, due to S. Franciosi, F. de Giovanni, and L.A. Kurdachenko [**7**, Theorem 2.5] which says that if K is a soluble-by-finite group such that $K/Z(K)$ has finite rank, then K' has finite rank bounded in terms of the derived length of the soluble radical of K, its index in K and the rank of $K/Z(K)$.

In the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 techniques of quite a different nature are required. Let us focus our attention on Theorem 1.3.

Let e, k, s be positive integers and G a profinite group that has subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ whose union contains all γ_k-values in G. Suppose that each of the subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ has finite exponent dividing e. Then $\gamma_k(G)$ has finite (e, k, s)-bounded exponent.

Note that without loss of generality G can be assumed finite. The following elementary lemma plays a crucial role in the proof.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be a nilpotent group and suppose that G is generated by a commutator-closed subset X which is contained in a union of finitely many subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$. Then G can be written as the product $G = G_1 G_2 \dots G_s$.*

PROOF. Let K be the last nontrivial term of the lower central series of G. Then K is generated by elements of X and so $K = K_1 K_2 \dots K_s$, where $K_i = K \cap G_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Arguing by induction on the nilpotency class of G assume

$$G = G_1 G_2 \dots G_s K_1 K_2 \dots K_s.$$

Since all K_i are central, we can move them to the left in the above product and the lemma follows. □

IDEA OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of $\gamma_k(G)$. It is sufficient to show that the exponent of P is (e, k, s)-bounded. By Theorem 2.1 we know that P is generated by powers of γ_k-values – elements of order dividing e. Therefore it is sufficient to show that the exponent of $\gamma_k(P)$ is (e, k, s)-bounded. Let $Q = \gamma_k(P)$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, Q is the product $Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_s$, where $Q_i = G_i \cap Q$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Using Zelmanov's Lie-theoretic techniques one can show that Q has (e,k,s)-bounded exponent. Hence P has (e,k,s)-bounded exponent. This holds for every Sylow subgroup of $\gamma_k(G)$ and so the result follows. □

4. On countable coverings of w-values in profinite groups

The last section of the paper deals with the situation where w-values are covered by countably many subgroups with prescribed properties.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let w be a multilinear commutator word and G a profinite group having countably many soluble subgroups whose union contains all w-values. Then $w(G)$ is soluble-by-finite.*

PROOF. Let $G_1, G_2, \dots$ be the countably many soluble subgroups whose union contains all w-values. Since w is a multilinear commutator there exists an integer k such that every δ_k-commutator is also a w-value [**24**, Lemma 4.1]. For each integer $i \geq 1$ we set

$$S_i = \Big\{(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}) \in \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k} \mid \delta_k(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k}) \in G_i\Big\}.$$

The sets S_i are closed in $\underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k}$ and cover the group $\underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_{2^k}$. By Baire's Category Theorem at least one of these sets contains a non-empty interior. Hence, there exist an open subgroup H of G, elements $a_1, \dots, a_{2^k}$ in G and an integer j such that

$$\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k}) \in G_j \text{ for all } h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that the subgroup H is normal. In this case H normalizes the set of all commutators of the form $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k})$, where $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$. Let K be the subgroup of G generated by all commutators of the form $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k})$, where $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$. Note that $K \leq G_j$. Since the subgroup G_j is soluble, so is K. Let $D = K \cap H$. Then D is a normal soluble subgroup of H and the normalizer of D in G has finite index. Therefore there are only finitely many conjugates of D in G. Let $D = D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r$ be all these conjugates. All of them are normal in H and so their product $D_1D_2 \dots D_r$ is soluble. By passing to the quotient $G/D_1D_2 \dots D_r$ we may assume that $D = 1$. Since $D = K \cap H$ and H has finite index in G, it follows that K is finite.

On the other hand, the normalizer of K has finite index in G and so the normal closure, say L, of K in G is finite. We can pass to the quotient group G/L and assume that $K = 1$. In that case we have $\delta_k(a_1h_1, \dots, a_{2^k}h_{2^k}) = 1$ for all $h_1, \dots, h_{2^k} \in H$. It follows that the group H is soluble-by-finite-by-soluble, so in particular it is soluble-by-finite. Since H has finite index in G we conclude that G is soluble-by-finite, as desired. □

Our attempts to treat the groups in which w values are contained in a union of countably many subgroups that are periodic or of finite rank so far were successful only in the case where $w = [x, y]$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let G be a profinite group having countably many periodic subgroups whose union contains all commutators $[x, y]$ of G. Then G' is locally finite.*

THEOREM 4.3. *Let G be a profinite group having countably many subgroups of finite rank whose union contains all commutators $[x, y]$ of G. Then G' is of finite rank.*

We will now describe in detail the proof of Theorem 4.2. Theorem 4.3 can be proved in a somewhat similar way.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2. Let $G_1, G_2, \dots$ be the countably many periodic subgroups whose union contains all commutators $[x, y]$ of G. For each integer $i \geq 1$ we set

$$S_i = \Big\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid [x, y] \in G_i\Big\}.$$

The sets S_i are closed in $G \times G$ and cover the whole of $G \times G$. By Baire's Category Theorem at least one of these sets contains a non-empty interior. Hence, there exist an open subgroup H of G, elements a, b in G and an integer i such that

$$[ah_1, bh_2] \in G_i \text{ for all } h_1, h_2 \in H.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that the subgroup H is normal. In this case H normalizes the set of all commutators $[ah_1, bh_2]$, where $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Let K be the subgroup of G generated by all commutators of the form $[ah_1, bh_2]$, where $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Note that $K \leq G_i$. Since the subgroup G_i is locally finite, so is K. Let $K_0 = K \cap H$. Then K_0 is a normal locally finite subgroup of H and the normalizer of K_0 in G has finite index. Therefore there are only finitely many conjugates of K_0 in G. Let $K_0, K_1, \dots, K_r$ be the conjugates. All of them are normal in H and so their product $K_0K_1 \dots K_r$ is locally finite. By passing to the quotient $G/K_0K_1 \dots K_r$ we may assume that $K_0 = 1$. Since $K_0 = K \cap H$ and H has finite index in G, it follows that K is finite. On the other hand, the normalizer of K has finite index in G and so the normal closure, say L, of K in G is also finite. We can pass to the quotient group G/L and assume that $K = 1$. In that case we have $[ah_1, bh_2] = 1$ for all $h_1, h_2 \in H$. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1.1 this implies that the subgroup H is abelian.

Since H is open, we can choose finitely many elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \in G$ such that $G = \langle H, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\rangle$. Then $[H, G] = \prod_{1\leq i\leq s}[H, a_i]$. Because H is abelian, every element of the subgroup $[H, a_i]$ is a commutator. Again by Baire's Category Theorem $[H, a_i]$ contains an open subgroup M and an element c such that cM is contained in G_j, for some index j. So M is locally finite and it follows that $[H, a_i]$ is locally finite as well. Since we can repeat the same argument for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, we conclude that $[H, G]$ is locally finite. We now pass to the quotient $G/[H, G]$ and assume that $[H, G] = 1$. Then $H \leq Z(G)$ and by Schur's Theorem G' is finite. The proof is complete. □

References

[1] C. Acciarri, G.A. Fernández-Alcober, P. Shumyatsky, *A focal subgroup theorem for outer commutator words*. J. Group Theory, DOI: 10.1515/jgt.2011.113, to appear.

[2] C. Acciarri, P. Shumyatsky, *On profinite groups in which commutators are covered by finitely many subgroups*, submitted. arXiv:1112.5879.

[3] S. Brazil, A. Krasilnikov, P. Shumyatsky, *Groups with bounded verbal conjugacy classes*, J. Group Theory, N.**9**, 2006, pp.127–137.

[4] G. Cutolo, C. Nicotera, *Verbal sets and cyclic coverings*, J. Algebra, N.**324**, 2010, pp.1616–1624.

[5] G.A. Fernández-Alcober, M. Morigi, *Outer commutator words are uniformly concise*, J. London Math. Soc., N.**82**, 2010, pp.581–595.

[6] G.A. Fernández-Alcober, P. Shumyatsky, *On groups in which commutators are covered by finitely many cyclic subgroups*, J. Algebra, N.**319**, 2008, pp.4844–4851.

[7] S. Franciosi, F. de Giovanni, L.A. Kurdachenko, *The Schur property and groups with uniform conjugacy classes*, J. Algebra, N.**174**, 1995, pp.823–847.

[8] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1980.

[9] P. Hall, G. Higman, *The p-length of a p-soluble group and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem*, Proc. London Math. Soc., N.(3) **6**, 1956, pp.1–42.

[10] W. Herfort, *Compact torsion groups and finite exponent*, Arch. Math., N.**33**, 1979, pp.404–410.

[11] S. V. Ivanov, *P. Hall's conjecture on the finiteness of verbal subgroups*, Izv. Vyssh. Ucheb. Zaved., N.**325**, 1989, pp.60–70.

[12] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, van Nostrand, Toronto - New York - London, 1955.

[13] E.I. Khukhro, *A comment on periodic compact groups*, Sib. Math. J., N.**30**(3), 1989, pp.493–496.

[14] A. Lubotzky, A. Mann, *Powerful p-groups. I: finite groups*, J. Algebra, N.**105**, 1987, pp.484–505.

[15] A. Mann, *The exponents of central factor and commutator groups*, J. Group Theory, N.**10**, 2007, pp.435–436.

[16] Ju.I. Merzlyakov, *Verbal and marginal subgroups of linear groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, N.**177**, 1967, pp.1008–1011.

[17] B.H. Neumann, *Groups covered by finitely many cosets*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, N.**3**, 1954, pp.227–242.

[18] B.H. Neumann, *Groups covered by permutable subsets*, J. London Math. Soc., N.**29**, 1954, pp.236–248.

[19] A. Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of Defining Relations in Groups*, Mathematics and its applications v. **70** (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.

[20] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin – New York, 2010.

[21] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2nd Edition., Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[22] J.R. Rogério, P. Shumyatsky, *A finiteness condition for verbal subgroups*, J. Group Theory, N.**10**, 2007, pp.811–815.

[23] D. Segal, *Words: notes on verbal width in groups*, LMS Lecture Notes **361**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[24] P. Shumyatsky, *Verbal subgroups in residually finite groups*, Quart. J. Math., N.**51**, 2000, pp.523–528.

[25] P. Shumyatsky, *On the exponent of a verbal subgroup in a finite group*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., to appear.

[26] R.F. Turner-Smith, *Finiteness conditions for verbal subgroups*, J. London Math. Soc., N.**41**, 1966, pp.166–176.

[27] J. Wilson, *On outer-commutator words*, Can. J. Math., N.**26**, 1974, pp.608–620.

[28] J.S. Wilson, *On the structure of compact torsion groups*, Monatsh. Math., N.**96**, 1983, pp.57–66.
[29] J.S. Wilson, *Profinite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.
[30] E. Zelmanov, *On periodic compact groups*, Israel J. Math., N.**77**, 1992, pp.83–95.
[31] E. Zelmanov, *Lie methods in the theory of nilpotent groups*, in Groups '93 Galaway/ St Andrews, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp.567–585.
[32] E. Zelmanov, *Nil rings and periodic groups*, The Korean Mathematical Society Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Seoul, 1992.

(C. Acciarri) Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil
E-mail address: acciarricristina@yahoo.it

(P. Shumyatsky) Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil
E-mail address: pavel@unb.br